IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA ORANGEBURG DIVISION

Jacquet L. Wilder, as parent and natural)
guardian of NAM – a minor child,)
	Civil Action No. 5:13-cv-03431-JMC
Plaintiff,)
v.)
) ORDER
Carolyn W. Colvin, Acting Commissioner)
of Social Security Administration,)
)
Defendant.)
)

This matter is before the court for a review of the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation ("Report"), [ECF No. 24], filed on November 14, 2014, recommending that the decision of the Commissioner of Social Security ("Commissioner") denying Plaintiff's claims for Supplemental Security Income ("SSI") be affirmed. The Report sets forth the relevant facts and legal standards which this court incorporates herein without a recitation.

The magistrate judge's Report is made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina. The magistrate judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with this court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270-71 (1976). The court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objections are made, and the court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the magistrate judge's recommendation or recommit the matter with instructions. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The parties were notified of their right to file objections [ECF No. 24-1]. Plaintiff has not

Page 2 of 2 5:13-cv-03431-JMC Date Filed 12/23/14 Entry Number 29

filed any objections to the Report.

In the absence of objections to the magistrate judge's Report, this court is not required to

provide an explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199

(4th Cir. 1983). Rather, "in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct

a de novo review, but instead must 'only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the

record in order to accept the recommendation." Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co., 416 F.3d

310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note). Furthermore,

failure to file specific written objections to the Report results in a party's waiver of the right to

appeal from the judgment of the district court based upon such recommendation. 28 U.S.C. §

636(b)(1); Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); Wright v. Collins, 766 F.2d 841 (4th Cir. 1985);

United States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91 (4th Cir. 1984).

After a thorough and careful review of the record, the court finds the magistrate judge's

Report provides an accurate summary of the facts and law in the instant case. The court ADOPTS

the magistrate judge's Report [ECF No. 24] and incorporates it herein by reference. For the reasons

set out in the Report, the Commissioner's final decision is **AFFIRMED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

J. Michaelle Childs

United States District Judge

December 23, 2014

Columbia, South Carolina

2